

EXHIBIT M

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:

Case No.: 03-10945 (MEW)
FLEMING COMPANIES, INC. et al. 821 North Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Debtor, Date: July 26, 2004
Time: 9:46 a.m.

CERTIFIED:
AS A TRUE COPY:
ATTEST:

DAVID D. BIRD, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT

Deputy Clerk 11/11/06
Cherry

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young,
Jones & Weintraub, P.C.
By: LAURA DAVIS JONES, ESQ.
CHRIS J. LHULIER, ESQ.
919 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

For the Debtor: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
By: RICHARD L. WYNNE, ESQ.
ERIC LIEBELER, ESQ.
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601

Audio Operator: Danielle R. Cherry

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript
Produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.
268 Evergreen Avenue
Hamilton, New Jersey 08619
E-Mail: jicourt@optonline.net

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

9150

APPEARANCES: (cont'd)

For AWG

Buchanan Ingersoll, P.C.
By: SELINDA A. MELNIK, ESQ.
Chase Manhattan Centre
1201 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For Farris Class Action
Plaintiffs

Werb & Sullivan
By: DUANE D. WERB, ESQ.
300 Delaware Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Kemps, LLC, et al.

Morris James Hitchens & Williams
By: STEPHEN MILLER, ESQ.
222 Delaware Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Raymond Choy

Potter, Anderson & Corroon
By: MADISON L. CASHMAN, ESQ.
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For SuperValu

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
By: WILLIAM EVANOFF, ESQ.

For Office of U.S. Trustee

United States Dept. of Justice
By: JOSEPH McMAHON, ESQ.
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Bldg.
844 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For Russell Stover

Connolly, Bove, Lodge & Hutz, LLP
By: JEFFREY WISLER, ESQ.
1220 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Pre- & Post-Petition
Agents

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
By: DENNIS A. MELORO, ESQ.
The Brandywine Bldg.
1000 West Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For ACE

White & Williams, LLP
By: MARC S. CASARINO, ESQ.
LEONARD GOLDBERGER, ESQ.
824 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

3

For OUCC Pepper Hamilton, LLP
By: WILLIAM COHEN, ESQ.
1201 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For C&S Acquisition Landis Rath & Cobb
By: RICHARD S. COBB, ESQ.
MEGAN N. HARPER, ESQ.
919 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For Sonitrol Management Reed Smith, LLP
By: KIMBERLY E. C. LAWSON, ESQ.
1201 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For Teamsters Union & UFCW Previant, Goldberg Uelman Gratz
Miller & Brueggeman
By: FREDERICK PERILLO, ESQ.
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Heiman Gouge & Kaufman
By: SUSAN KAUFMAN, ESQ.
800 King Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Wayne Berry William W. Erhart, PA
By: WILLIAM W. ERHART, ESQ.
800 King Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Buy For Less, Northstar Walsh, Monzack & Monaco, P.A.
By: RACHEL B. MERSKY, ESQ.
1201 North Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Big 8 Campbell & Levine
By: MARK HURFORD, ESQ.
800 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

By Phone:

For Debtors Kirkland & Ellis
By: JAMIE SPRAYREGEN, ESQ.
SHIRLEY CHO, ESQ.
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601

4

For Bradley Operating, LP	McGuire Woods, LLP By: MICHAEL SCHMAHL, ESQ. 150 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60601
For Wayne Berry	Lynch Ichida Thompson Kim & Hirota By: TIMOTHY HOGAN, ESQ. 1132 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813
For BP Products North America	Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP By: DEBRA SUDOCK, ESQ. 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178
For General Mills and Marigold	Frederickson & Byron By: FAY KNOWLES, ESQ.
For Application to retain Bob Reynolds	By: BOB REYNOLDS, ESQ.
For Lexington Insurance	Grotefeld & Denenberg By: LYNN WEAVER, ESQ. 105 West Adams Street Chicago, IL 60603

1 agreement and therefore I'm satisfied that the plan is feasible
2 notwithstanding that side letter.

3 I think with respect to the allegations of lack of good
4 faith, the only objection is that of Mr. Berry and I don't find a
5 lack of good faith here. I find, as I stated earlier, that there
6 was no transfer of the software authorized, approved under the
7 asset purchase agreement. And the plan does not itself provide
8 for any transfer of the intellectual property contrary to Third
9 Circuit law.

10 That said, I think that the issue, as I said
11 previously, of any claim that Mr. Berry may have against --
12 certainly against C&S is not within my jurisdiction, but as
13 against the debtor, I am satisfied to let another court decide
14 that issue. And notwithstanding any injunction provisions of the
15 plan to permit the post-petition infringement action to proceed
16 in the court in which it was filed.

17 With respect to the pre-petition, I did review the
18 debtor's supplemental brief, but I'm still not satisfied that
19 there's any reason why I should not grant relief from the stay to
20 permit that case to conclude to the extent it's simply to enter
21 final judgment and will have liquidated the pre-petition claim to
22 the extent it sought an injunction. I'm not satisfied it's
23 contrary to -- certainly not contrary to the plan. And I don't
24 see any reason why that should not proceed. I really think it's
25 -- it may or may not be encompassed by the second complaint, but

1 I will allow the state court -- excuse me, the courts in Hawaii
2 to decide that.

3 So I will grant relief from the stay as to both the
4 pre-petition claim and reconfirm that under Title 28 Section 959
5 the post-petition action may proceed and will specifically
6 exclude that from any injunction in the plan to the extent it
7 seeks to stop any action based on pre-confirmation post-petition
8 activity. I think it should be resolved by the whatever amended
9 complaint we're up to filed by Mr. Berry.

10 MR. LIEBELER: Just to clarify the ruling, Your Honor,
11 if you're lifting the stay in the pre-petition litigation --

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. LIEBELER: -- to let it proceed from where it was
14 because there were post-trial motions in play at that time.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. LIEBELER: I want to make sure that record's clear.

17 THE COURT: Yes. Just so it's clear, the debtor's also
18 permitted to proceed with any post-trial proceedings.

19 MR. LIEBELER: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Since the stay does not affect the debtor.

21 MR. SPRAYREGEN: Your Honor, as I noted at the outset,
22 at the right time, we did have two stipulations that went with
23 proposed confirmation; one from CHEP and one from Jackson Capital
24 that I had handed up earlier. And then I do have, and I'm not
25 sure if the Court wants to go through this now or how you want to

234

1

CERTIFICATE

2

3 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript,
4 from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
5 above-entitled matter.

6

7

8 Susan Holcomb August 3, 2004
9 Susan Holcomb, Transcriber

10 AAERT Cert. No. D-273